

**Biological Forum – An International Journal** 

13(4): 349-352(2021)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Sensor and SMI based Irrigation Management in Maize [Zea mays (L.)] to Enhance Growth, Yield and Water Use Efficiency

Chaithra C.<sup>1</sup>\*, Hanumanthappa D.C.<sup>2</sup>, Mudalagiriyappa<sup>3</sup>, Sujith G.M.<sup>4</sup>, Sukanya T.S.<sup>5</sup> and Lathashree A. V.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M. Sc., (Agri), Department of Agronomy, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore, (Karnataka), India.
<sup>2</sup>Senior Scientist (Agronomy), AICRP on Agroforestry, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore, (Karnataka), India.
<sup>3</sup>Chief scientist, AICRP on Dryland agriculture, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore, (Karnataka), India.
<sup>4</sup>Technical officer, Directorate of research, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore, (Karnataka), India.
<sup>5</sup>Agronomist and Principal Investigator, Project Coordinating Unit, ICAR-AICRP on Small Millets, GKVK, Bangalore, Karnataka, (Karnataka), India.

(Corresponding author: Chaithra C.\*) (Received 28 August 2021, Accepted 21 October, 2021) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: Maize is popularly referred as the "Oueen of Cereals" due to its high yielding potential among the cereal crop. The increasing demand along with increments in water and energy costs have made it necessary to develop new technologies for the adequate management of water. With emerging water scarcity, the traditional way of cultivation can no longer be sustained to meet the demands of ever growing populations. Keeping the above facts in view. The field experiment on "Sensor and SMI based irrigation management in Maize [Zea mays (L.)] to enhance growth, yield and water use efficiency" " was conducted during Kharif-2019 at 'L' Block, ZARS, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore 560065. The experiment comprised of seven treatments viz., T<sub>1</sub>: Surface irrigation, T<sub>2</sub>: Drip irrigation at three days interval, T<sub>3</sub>: Green Soil Moisture Indicator (GSMI) based drip irrigation, T<sub>4</sub>: Yellow soil moisture indicator based drip irrigation (YSMI), T<sub>5</sub>: Sensor based drip irrigation at 25% depletion of available soil moisture (DASM), T<sub>6</sub>: Sensor based drip irrigation at 50% DASM and T<sub>7</sub>: Sensor based drip irrigation at 75% DASM. These were laid out in RCBD and replicated thrice. The results found that sensor based drip irrigation at 25% DASM recorded significantly higher growth parameters, kernel yield (10,676 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and Stover yield (12,273 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). However, GSMI based drip irrigation (10441 and 11975kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) recorded on par yield. The same treatment also recorded higher water use efficiency (219.2kg ha-cm<sup>-1</sup>). Whereas, the lower grain yield (6551 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), stover yield (8007 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and water use efficiency (131.8 kg ha-cm<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in surface irrigation.

Keywords: Drip irrigation, Maize, GSMI, Sensor and YSMI.

# INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the third most dominant cereal crop cultivated throughout the world, both for food and economic purposes, after wheat and rice. It is popularly known as the "Queen of Cereals" due to its high yielding potential among the cereal groups of the Graminae family. In India, it occupies a cropped area of 9.63 million hectares with an annual production of 25.89 million tonnes. Whereas in Karnataka, it is cultivated in an area of 1.37 million hectares with an annual production of 3.31 million tonnes with a mean productivity of 3000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Anon., 2018).

Increasing demand from livestock and poultry production is known to increase the demand for maize further, due to urbanization; there is no scope to increase the area under maize. As a result, increasing yield per unit area through agronomic practices, particularly by managing water and time, may be a viable solution to meeting the world's everincreasing food demand.

Maize is sensitive to moisture stress. Extended water stress during the kernel setting and grain filling period could cause over 50% of grain production loss (Liu et al., 2018). Irrigation method and water quality both had a significant impact on maize crop yield (Irfan et al., 2014). Conventionally, irrigation scheduling is mainly based on soil moisture measurement or by using the soil water balance calculation method, which is tiresome and laborious. Sensors are the modern devices or gadgets employed to determine the soil moisture content at different growth stages of the crop to judiciously meet the crop's water requirement by estimating moisture content as a percentage depletion of available soil moisture (DASM). To know the precise water requirement of crops and to attain elevated water use efficiency (WUE), sensors are to be used in crop cultivation. So, utilising different sensors in irrigation

Chaithra et al.,

schedules could be a possible solution to enhance the yield of maize crops by saving water.

The sensors are mainly of two types: one estimates the soil moisture content while the other determines soil moisture tension. Soil moisture probes and soil moisture indicator (SMI) sensors are used to estimate the available moisture in the soil in order to supply the scarce resource water indefinitely and at the appropriate time of plant growth and development stages (Hussain *et al.*, 2013). The use of soil moisture sensors helps growers with irrigation scheduling by providing information about when to water the crops (Anchit *et al.*, 2016). The precision and accuracy of the sensors are crucial to getting precision in irrigation scheduling. Sensors accompanied by drip irrigation could be an effective management practise to increase water productivity.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment entitled "Sensor and SMI based irrigation management in Maize [Zea mays (L.)] to enhance growth, yield and water use efficiency" was conducted at M-block, Agroforestry unit, ZARS, GKVK, Bengaluru during Kharif-2019. The experimental site is situated in the Eastern Dry Zone (Zone-5) of Karnataka, which is located between 12° 51'N Latitude and 77°35'E Longitude at an altitude of 930 m above mean sea level (MSL). The experiment was comprised of seven treatments, viz., T1: Surface irrigation, T<sub>2</sub>: Drip irrigation at three-day intervals, T<sub>3</sub>: Green Soil Moisture Indicator (GSMI) based drip irrigation, T<sub>4</sub>: Yellow soil moisture indicator based drip irrigation (YSMI), T5: Sensor based drip irrigation at 25% depletion of available soil moisture (DASM), T6: Sensor based drip irrigation at 50% DASM, and T7: Sensor based drip irrigation at 75% DASM were laid in a randomised block design with three replications.

Five plants are chosen at random from each treatment to record the observations for the traits of plant height, number of leaves, leaf area, dry matter production, yield parameters, etc. Plant height was recorded from the base of the plant to the fully opened top leaf and a mean of five was presented as plant height (cm). Average values of fully opened green leaves from five randomly selected plants were noted. The green leaves from the selected plants were fed to the leaf area meter and expressed as cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup> (Model Li-300 from Licor Co Nebraska). Dry matter accumulation was recorded for the leaves, stem, and roots of the plant. Five plants from each treatment were selected randomly and uprooted without damaging the roots up to 15 cm depth and parts like leaf, stem, and root and oven dried at 65-70°C. These samples were weighed and noted as gram (g) plant<sup>-1</sup>. These data were used to calculate the total dry weight per plant. Cob length obtained from selected five plants was measured and the average of five cobs was considered as cob length in centimeters. Kernels from the net plot was randomly counted and 100 kernel weight is expressed as test weight in grams. Harvested kernel from the net plot were dried and weighed. The grain yield per hectare was computed based on grain weight from the net plot, and expressed in kilogram per hectare. Plant dry matter from the net plot was recorded, after sun drying for 8-10 days. Stover yield was computed and expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Water use efficiency (WUE) was computed from the yield of maize and the amount of water used (Viets, 1972) and expressed in kg ha-cm<sup>-1</sup>.

$$WUE = \frac{\text{Grain yield (kg ha^{-1})}}{\text{Quantity of total water applied (ha-cm)}}$$

The percentage of water saved over surface irrigation was calculated for the remaining treatments. The data collected from the experimental plots was analyzed statistically by following the procedure as given by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The level of significance used in 'F' and't' test was P=0.05. Critical differences were calculated wherever 'F' test was significant.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Growth analysis elucidated the impact of sensor based irrigation levels on all growth attributing parameters. Sensor based drip irrigation at 25% DASM recorded taller plants (203.4 cm), total number of leaves (13.3), leaf area (9083  $\text{cm}^2 \text{ plant}^{-1}$ ), as well as dry matter accumulation (479.5 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) at harvest. Irrigation scheduling based on soil sensors (soil moisture sensor and tensiometer) led to greater leaf area and accumulations of fresh and dry biomass (Gordin et al. 2019). It was mainly due to irrigating the crop at the required time, which resulted in the continuous availability of required moisture near the root zone, which might have helped in higher nutrient uptake, resulted in greater cell division and elongation. On the other hand, stress found at surface irrigation has reduced plant height to an extent of 9.2 per cent. Similar results were noticed by Durga et al. (2018); Kumar et al., (2018).

Irrigation plays an imperative role in deciding the potential ability of maize to produce an economic yield. Characters like cob length, number of kernels per pod, and 100 kernel weight, as well as the growth of maize, are drastically affected by moisture stress. In the present study, sensor-based drip irrigation at 25% DASM recorded lengthy cobs (19.0 cm), 100 kernel weight (30.5 g), kernel weight (192.1 g cob<sup>-1</sup>), and cob weight (245.6 g). Higher kernel (10679 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Stover yield (12273 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were also recorded in sensor based drip irrigation at 25% DASM. The higher kernel yield with sensor based drip irrigation at 25% DASM was due to the production of longer cobs, kernels per row, and test weight (Table 2). The increase in these yield parameters was due to the availability of sufficient moisture in the soil, which also favored photosynthetic production and translocation of photosynthates to the sink and improved 100-seed weight. Significantly lower grain yield in surface irrigation was associated with the non-availability of required moisture at all times, creating stress near the crop root zone. Similar findings were reported by Khanna et al. (2018); Lathashree, (2019).

Chaithra et al.,

| Table 1: Influence of sensor | based irrigation on | growth 1 | parameters of maize. |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------------|
|                              |                     |          |                      |

| Treatment   | Plant<br>height<br>(cm) at<br>harvest | Number of<br>leaves at<br>harvest | Leaf area(cm <sup>2</sup><br>plant <sup>-1</sup> ) at<br>harvest | Dry matter<br>production (g<br>plant <sup>-1</sup> ) at<br>harvest |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| $T_1$ : Surface irrigation                                | 184.6                                 | 9.67                              | 7390   | 350.3  |
| $T_2$ : Drip irrigation at 3 days interval                | 194.1                                 | 12.0                              | 8052   | 416.0  |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Green SMI based drip irrigation          | 200.0                                 | 12.6                              | 9013   | 436.0  |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Yellow SMI based drip irrigation         | 194.7                                 | 11.3                              | 8598   | 365.7  |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Sensor based drip irrigation at 25% DASM | 203.4                                 | 13.3                              | 9083   | 479.5  |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Sensor based drip irrigation at 50% DASM | 195.6                                 | 12.3                              | 8375   | 432.6  |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Sensor based drip irrigation at 75% DASM | 187.3                                 | 10.3                              | 7550   | 354.4  |
| F – test  | **                                    | **                                | **   | **   |
| S.Em.±  | 2.19                                  | 0.57                              | 162  | 15.10  |
| CD (p=0.05 or 0.01)                                       | 6.76                                  | 1.74                              | 501  | 46.5   |

\* Significant at 5 % \*\* Significant at 1 %

Table 2: Influence of sensor based irrigation on yield parameters of maize.

| Treatment   | Cob<br>length<br>(cm) | 100<br>kernel<br>weight<br>(g) | Kernel yield<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Stover<br>yield<br>(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| $T_1$ : Surface irrigation                                | 15.6                  | 27.7                           | 6551                                   | 8007                                      |
| $T_2$ : Drip irrigation at 3 days interval                | 17.3                  | 28.0                           | 8331                                   | 9485                                      |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Green SMI based drip irrigation          | 18.1                  | 30.3                           | 10441                                  | 11975                                     |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Yellow SMI based drip irrigation         | 16.1                  | 27.8                           | 7548                                   | 9145                                      |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Sensor based drip irrigation at 25% DASM | 19.0                  | 30.5                           | 10676                                  | 12273                                     |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Sensor based drip irrigation at 50% DASM | 17.5                  | 28.6                           | 8436                                   | 9690                                      |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Sensor based drip irrigation at 75% DASM | 15.8                  | 27.3                           | 6555                                   | 8033                                      |
| F – test  | *                     | *                              | **                                     | *   |
| S.Em.±  | 0.62                  | 0.23                           | 421                                    | 810                                       |
| CD (p=0.05 or 0.01)                                       | 1.90                  | 0.71                           | 1299                                   | 2498                                      |

\* Significant at 5 % \*\* Significant at 1 %

| Table 3: Total water used, Water Use Efficiency (WUE), Water saved in maize as influenced by sensor |
|---|
| based irrigation  |

|  | Total water used (ha-cm) |           |       | Water use                               | Water        |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-------|---|--------------|
| Treatment  | Effective<br>Rainfall    | Irrigated | Total | efficiency<br>(kg ha-cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | saved<br>(%) |
| $T_1$ : Surface irrigation                                   | 21.7                     | 28.0      | 49.7  | 131.8                                   | -            |
| T <sub>2</sub> : Drip irrigation at 3 days interval          | 21.7                     | 19.5      | 41.2  | 202.2                                   | 30.4         |
| T <sub>3</sub> : Green SMI based drip irrigation             | 21.7                     | 27.0      | 48.7  | 214.4                                   | 3.6          |
| T <sub>4</sub> : Yellow SMI based drip irrigation            | 21.7                     | 19.5      | 41.2  | 183.2                                   | 30.4         |
| T <sub>5</sub> : Sensor based drip irrigation at 25% DASM    | 21.7                     | 27.0      | 48.7  | 219.2                                   | 3.6          |
| T <sub>6</sub> : Sensor based drip irrigation at 50% DASM    | 21.7                     | 19.5      | 41.2  | 204.7                                   | 30.4         |
| T <sub>7</sub> : Sensor based drip irrigation at 75%<br>DASM | 21.7                     | 15.0      | 36.7  | 178.6                                   | 46.4         |

The variation in total water usage (ha-cm) was observed due to sensor based irrigation management (Table 3). Surface irrigation of maize used maximum water (49.7 ha-cm) followed by sensor based drip irrigation at 25 % DASM (48.7 ha-cm), GSMI based drip irrigation (48.7 ha-cm), drip irrigation at three days interval (41.2 ha-cm), sensor based irrigation at 50 % DASM (41.2 ha-cm), YSMI based drip irrigation (41.2 ha-cm) and sensor based drip irrigation at 75% DASM (36.7 ha-cm).

The treatments also differed significantly for WUE with sensor based irrigation management (Table 3). Significantly higher WUE (219.2 kg ha-cm<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in sensor based drip irrigation at 25%

DASM, followed by Green SMI based drip irrigation (214.4 kg ha-cm<sup>-1</sup>) and drip irrigation at three days' interval (202.2 kg ha-cm<sup>-1</sup>). Whereas, lower WUE was observed in the treatment receiving surface irrigation (131.8 kg ha-cm<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 3). Higher water use efficiency with the drip irrigation system was due to reduced water loss and efficient water use by the plants, resulting in a higher yield. The favorable effect of drip irrigation helped in maintaining constant soil moisture potential (Shah and Das, 2012). The lower water use efficiency of surface irrigation was attributed to more evaporation loss of soil moisture due to a more exposed wetting surface upon irrigation. Similar findings were reported by Bharathi *et al.* (2007), Sui (2017); Lathashree (2019).

Chaithra et al.,

#### CONCLUSION

Altering the irrigation frequency resulted in increased productivity and crop biomass production. Sensor based drip irrigation at 25% DASM recorded higher growth parameters, yield attributing parameters, yield and WUE of maize.

### FUTURE SCOPE

Combined use of sensors for nutrient and irrigation management in maize needs attention.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank my advisor Dr. Hanumanthappa, for his guidance, support and also for providing all the necessary facilities during my research work. I would also like to thank all the authors who have directly or indirectly contributed during the whole process of this paper.

Conflict of Interest. None.

#### REFERENCES

- Anchit G., Priyamitra M., & Rohit G. (2016). Application of Soil Moisture Sensors in Agriculture: A Review, *In: Proceedings of International Conference on Hydraulics, Water Resources and Coastal Engineering* (Hydro2016), CWPRS Pune, India 8th 10th December 2016.
- Anonymous (2018), Agricultural statistics at a glance-2018, Directorate of economics and statistics, *Department* of Agriculture and Cooperation, New Delhi.
- Bharati, V., Ravi Nandan, Pandey, I. B., & Kumar, V. (2007). Effect of irrigation levels on Yield, Water Use Efficiency and Economics of Winter Maize (*Zea mays L.*) based Intercropping systems. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 52(1): 27-30.
- Durga, C. V., Ramulu, M., Umadevi & Suresh, K. (2018). Evaluation of Soil Moisture Sensors and Irrigation Scheduling in rabi Maize (Zea mays L.). International Journal of Chemical Studies, 6(5): 1789-1792.
- Gomez, K. A., & Gomez, A. A., 1984, Statistical procedures for agricultural research (Ed.). A Wiley Inter Science Publication, New York (USA).

- Gordin, L. C., Cabral de Almeida, C. D. G., Santos Júnior, J. A., de França e Silva, Ê. F., dos Santos Almeida, A. C., & da Silva, G. S. N. (2019). Irrigation scheduling techniques and irrigation frequency on capsicum growth and yield. *Dyna*, 86(211): 42-48.
- Hussain, R., Sahgal, J. L., & Riyaj, M. (2013). Control of irrigation automatically by using wireless sensor network. *International Journal of Soft Computing* and Engineering, 3(1): 2231-2307.
- Irfan, M., Arshad, M., Shakoor, A., & Anjum, L. (2014). Impact of Irrigation Management and Practices and Water quality on Maize Production and Water Use Efficiency. *The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences*, 24(5): 1518-1524.
- Khanna, R., Sheshadri, T., & Chandra, S. (2018). Effect of Drip Fustigation on Productivity and Economics of Maize (Zea mays L.) in Eastern Dry zone of Karnataka. International Journal of current Microbiology and Applied Science, 7(05): 821-825.
- Kumar, V., Chandra, R., & Jain, S. K. (2018). Effect of Deficit Irrigation in Rabi Maize for Crop Growth, Yield, Biomass and Water Use Efficiency in North Bihar Condition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science, 7(6): 2694-2701.
- Liu, Y., Yang, H., Li, J., LI Yan-feng & Yan, H. (2018). Estimation of Irrigation Requirements for Dripirrigated Maize in a Sub-humid Climate. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 17(3): 677–692.
- Lathashree, A. V. (2019). Sensor based Irrigation Management in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), *M.Sc* (*Agri.*) *Thesis*, submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- Shah, N. G. and Das, I. (2012). Precision Irrigation Sensor Network Based Irrigation, Problems, Perspectives and Challenges of Agricultural Water Management, IIT Bombay, India. pp. 217-232.
- Sui, R. (2018). Irrigation scheduling using soil moisture sensors. Journal of Agricultural Science, 10(1): 1-11.
- Viets, F. G., 1972, Fertilizer and efficient use of water. Advances in Agronomy, 14: 223-264.

**How to cite this article:** Chaithra C., Hanumanthappa, D.C., Mudalagiriyappa, Sujith, G.M., Sukanya, T.S. and Lathashree A.V. (2021). Sensor and SMI based Irrigation Management in Maize [*Zea mays* (L.)] to Enhance Growth, Yield and Water Use Efficiency. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *13*(4): 349-352.